



Connection Between Non-Linearity of the Pomeranchuk Trajectory
and
An Intercept Below 1

G. F. CHEW^{*}

National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510

and

D. R. SNIDER

University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201

ABSTRACT

An order of magnitude relation is suggested between the curvature of the Pomeranchuk trajectory and the displacement of its intercept below 1.

^{*} On leave from Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, California



Experimental evidence has recently been reported for curvature of the Pomeranchuk trajectory.¹ For t in the range $0.05 \text{ GeV}^2 < |t| < 0.10 \text{ GeV}^2$, the slope has an average value of $0.37 \pm .08 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$, while for $0.10 < |t| < 0.30 \text{ GeV}^2$ the average slope is $.10 \pm .06 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$. Such behavior has been qualitatively anticipated from the multiperipheral model as a consequence of interaction between the leading pole and the leading branch point.² We here present a simplified description of this pole-branch point interaction which allows an immediate order of magnitude estimate of the displacement of the Pomeron intercept below 1. We avoid the detailed model-dependent considerations of Ref. 2 which tend to obscure the essential elements of the mechanism.

The source both of the curvature of $\alpha_P(t)$ and of the displacement of $\alpha_P(0)$ below 1 is the Finkelstein-Kajantie requirement of a non-vanishing interval between pole and branch point.³ The argument of these authors establishes such a gap only at $t = 0$, but the multiperipheral model extends their argument to make plausible that the pole and branch point are not allowed to intersect for any real negative t .² The magnitude of the separation between pole and branch point is model-dependent, but at $t = 0$ the branch point position is related to that of the pole by the formula

$$\alpha_c(0) = 2\alpha_P(0) - 1, \quad (1)$$

so the gap width

$$\Delta \equiv \alpha_P(0) - \alpha_c(0) \quad (2)$$

is also equal to $1 - \alpha_P(0)$, the displacement below 1 of the Pomeron intercept. How do we infer curvature of the trajectory?

We may infer curvature from the circumstance that if the pole trajectory were linear, the branch-point trajectory would also be linear and with half the slope, because*

$$\alpha_c(t) = 2 \alpha_P(t/4) - 1. \quad (3)$$

Since the branch point lies beneath the pole at $t = 0$, an intersection at some negative value of t would be inevitable. To avoid intersection with the branch point the trajectory must develop positive curvature.

We are now in a position to make an order of magnitude estimate. With no curvature, and a slope α'_P , intersection would occur at

$$\bar{t} = \frac{2\Delta}{\alpha'_P(0)} \quad (4)$$

To avoid intersection the trajectory slope must decrease by about a factor of two in going from $t = 0$ to $t = \bar{t}$. The recently acquired ISR data¹ suggests that the order of magnitude of \bar{t} is 0.1 GeV^2 , while $\alpha'_P(0) \approx 0.4 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$. Thus from Formula (4) we estimate

$$\Delta = \frac{\alpha'_P(0) \bar{t}}{2} \approx .02 \quad (5)$$

* Formula (3) is valid only for linear trajectories, while Formula (1) is general.

Such an order of magnitude for $1 - \alpha_P(0)$ was also estimated in Ref. 2 on a more model-dependent basis that did not employ any experimental information about trajectory curvature.

The estimate $\Delta \approx .02$ is on the upper extreme of what can be tolerated from total cross section measurements, since the asymptotic Regge prediction is that

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}} \propto s^{-\Delta} = e^{-\Delta \ln s} \quad (6)$$

The interval in $\ln s$ between CERN-Brookhaven-Serpukhov conventional measurements and ISR measurements is about 4; thus we expect the fractional decrease in σ_{tot} over this interval to be $\approx 4\Delta$. From available proton-proton total cross section measurements¹ the upper limit for such a fractional decrease seems to be about .08 (3 mb out of 40 mb), indicating that Δ is no larger than .02.

If total cross sections turn out to increase asymptotically with energy as suggested by some interpretations of cosmic ray evidence,⁴ the picture presented here must evidently be discarded.

Abarbanel et al., have used the multiperipheral model to suggest an order of magnitude equivalence between the displacement Δ and the dimensionless triple-Pomeron coupling η_P .⁵ Experimental upper limits on η_P are so far extremely crude but lie in the range .005.⁶ However a recent Deck model calculation by Sorensen estimates $\eta_P \approx .0004$.⁷

Were the displacement Δ of such a small magnitude it would probably never be detected in total cross section measurements. Furthermore, the connection suggested here between the order of magnitude of Δ and the reported curvature of the Pomeron could not be sustained.

REFERENCES

- ¹A. N. Diddens, Report to the 1972 Oxford International Conference on High Energy Collisions, Results of the CERN, Aachen, Genoa, Harvard, Turin Collaboration.
- ²W. R. Frazer and O. H. Mehta, Phys. Rev. D1, 696 (1970); G. F. Chew and D. R. Snider, Phys. Rev. D3, 420 (1971). See Fig. 6.
- ³J. Finkelstein and K. Kajantie, Nuovo Cimento 56A, 659 (1968); G. F. Chew and W. R. Frazer, Phys. Rev. 181, 1914 (1969).
- ⁴G. B. Yodh, Yash Pal and J. S. Trefil, Phys. Rev. Letters 28, 1005 (1972).
- ⁵H. D. I. Abarbanel, et al., Phys. Rev. Letters 26, 537 (1971).
- ⁶R. Rajaramen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 27, 693 (1971).
- ⁷C. Sorensen, private communication, Berkeley (1972).